Case Report

Rectus sheath hemorrhage due to oral anticoagulant therapy
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1. Introduction

Rectus sheath hemorrhage (RSH) is a relatively uncommon condition but important disease causing abdominal pain. RSH is an accumulation of blood in the anterior rectus abdominis muscle due to disruption of epigastric vessels or rectus muscle (Henzel et al., 1966; Siu et al., 2003). RSH usually can occur at any age but the fifth and sixth decade is the most common (Casey et al., 2000). As RSH can be seen spontaneously, it can be seen due to trauma, previous abdominal operations, subcutaneous drug injections, hematological diseases, hypertension, coughing, physical exercise, pregnancy, iatrogenic causes, and anticoagulation therapy (Maharaj et al., 2002; Siu et al., 2003; Cherry and Mueller, 2006; Karabulut et al., 2006).

The number of patients with RSH associated with warfarin therapy is uncommon in the literature. RSH is a medical emergency that requires early diagnosis and treatment to prevent morbidity. Primary management of the RSH is conservation. This case report describes a patient with RSH and retroperitoneal hemorrhage secondary to anticoagulant therapy, who was initially presented with acute abdomen.

2. Case Report

A 64-year-old female was admitted to our Emergency Department with complaints of abdominal pain, emesis, nausea, and abdominal wall ecchymosis. She had a history of atrial fibrillation diagnosed 10 years ago and she was taking 7.5 mg of anticoagulant drug (warfarin sodium) daily for 18 months for atrial fibrillation. At the same time she used to take oral antidiabetic drugs for diabetes mellitus for six years. Her previous INR measurements were within the therapeutic range. On presentation, her vital signs were stable. Mean arterial pressure was 120/70 mmHg, respiratory rate was 20/min, pulse rate was 80/min. On physical examination revealed painful mass in the left and right lower quadrant of the abdomen. The abdomen was tender on palpation, but there was no rebound tenderness and muscular rigidity. Other physical examination findings were normal.

The main laboratory test results were as follows: white blood cell count: 13,3 thousand/uL (reference range, 4.3-10.3), haemoglobin level: 7.8 g/dL (reference range, 13.6-17.2), platelet count: 189 thousand/uL (reference range, 165-352), creatinine: 1.69 mg/dL (reference range,
hemorrhage secondary to anticoagulant therapy is a rare complication. It has been described in patients treated with warfarin, intravenous unfractionated heparin, and subcutaneous low-molecular-weight heparin (Berna et al., 2000; Cherry and Mueller, 2006; Osinbowale et al., 2008).

The presenting symptoms and signs of RSH are sudden abdominal pain, abdominal wall mass, and rarely abdominal wall ecchymosis, nausea or vomiting (Berna et al., 2000; Cherry and Mueller, 2006). Thus the patients with RSH may present to emergency department with complaint in relation with acute abdomen. In our case, the patient presented to our emergency department with abdominal pain, abdominal wall ecchymosis, nausea and vomiting. With these findings our initial diagnosis was intraabdominal hemorrhage. Because of the history of coumadin therapy and determination of abdominal mass in the physical examination, US was performed and RSH was diagnosed.

The frequency of bleeding which caused by anticoagulant therapy increases with long term usage (Lewin and Patterson, 1980). The risk of bleeding is correlated with the clinical condition of the patient, the patient’s age, the patient’s gender, and intensity of anticoagulation (Berna et al., 2000). Bleeding episodes are reported to occur in 20% of cases (Choudari et al., 1994). The rate of major bleeding in Turkey was reported as 21.6% (Unverir et al., 2006). The authors commented the high incidence of major bleeding episodes in Turkey could be attributed to social and cultural diversity, difficulties in follow-up of bleeding profiles and genetic factors (Denizbas et al., 2006; Unverir et al., 2006). Our case was a 64 year-old woman and was under anticoagulant therapy for a long time.

RSH is a rarely seen condition in patients under anticoagulant therapy and clinical diagnosis of the disease is quite difficult. Imaging can provide the correct diagnosis and exclude any intraabdominal disorder. Ultrasonography (US) can be used as a screening modality for initial evaluation of patients because it is simple, rapid, noninvasive, inexpensive, and widely available but with less sensitivity, ranging from 70% to 90% in published reviews (Cherry and Mueller, 2006). US is a subjective screening method dependent to the performer’s experience and misdiagnosis of RSH is possible. So abdominal CT scanning is more acceptable in such patients (Daves et al., 1996). Computed Tomography (CT) is superior to USG in localisation, extension and evaluation of the size of the hematoma. Moreover CT imaging can give the classification of the hematoma. Abdominal CT is useful in excluding other intra-abdominal processes and is the gold standard with virtually 100% sensitivity and specificity for RSH (Cherry and Mueller, 2006). In our patient RSH was found by USG but abdomen CT was performed for a detailed detection.

In RSH, unlike other acute intraabdominal pathologies, despite severe abdominal pain, general
condition of the patient doesn’t fail (Linhares et al., 1999). Surgery is indicated only in progressive and large painful hematomas or when the diagnosis is in doubt. In our patient with RSH and intraabdominal hemorrhage, warfarin therapy was ceased and conservative therapy with fresh frozen plasma and blood transfusion was performed.

In conclusion, acute abdominal pain associated with RSH in a patient receiving anticoagulant therapy should raise suspicion for intraabdominal bleeding. Early diagnosis is crucial, because most patients are treated nonoperatively with good outcome. Our case illustrates that prompt recognition of this condition by emergency physicians can be achieved with USG.
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